

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,542	02/10/2000	Bruce L Davis	60109	5321
23735	7590 06/11/2002			
DIGIMARC CORPORATION 19801 SW 72ND AVENUE SUITE 100			EXAMINER	
			FADOK, MARK A	
TUALATIN, OR 97062			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,		Application No.	Applica	nt(s)			
Office Action Summary							
		09/502,542	DAVIS E	:1 AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark A Fadok	3625	dones address			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	— is action is non-fi	nal.				
3)[							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
· · ·	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[2]	The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2000 is/are	•	·— •				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			e Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent Appli Other: .				

Application/Control Number: 09/502,542

Art Unit: 3625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scroggie et al. (5,970,469).

In regards to claim 1, Scroggie discloses presenting a collection of retail items, each having an indicia

associated therewith, in a bricks and mortar store offering items for sale; sensing the indicia associated with selected ones of said items (FIG 13, Item 312); compiling a list

Application/Control Number: 09/502,542

Art Unit: 3625

identifying the items whose indicia were sensed; storing said list in a data structure associated with a user (col. 7, lines 40-52); and

later recalling said list; using said recalled list to present a customized selection of items in an on-line shopping environment; and receiving input from a user identifying a subset of items from said customized selection of items (col. 7, lines 44-46).

In regards to claim 2, scroggie teaches scanning at a checkout stand of said store (FIG 13, Item 312).

In regards to claim 7, Scroggie discloses logging a shopper's habits or preferences exhibited in an on-line shopping environment in one or more database records associated with that shopper (col. 13, lines 10-23); and recalling said logged database record in a bricks and mortar store and using the logged information in connection with bricks and mortar shopping by said user (FIG 13).

Claims 3-5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Kenny (6,381,583).

In regards to claims 3-5, 8 and 9, Kenny teaches all the elements refered to the claims. For instance, Kenny discloses an interactive electronic shopping system and method to create a virtual shopping facility from an actual shopping facility, such as a grocery store, restaurant, or office. A shopper at a computer or other suitable display device can move through the virtual shopping facility and see replicas of what would be seen in moving through the actual shopping facility. Various lists of items selected for purchase can be made, and predetermined lists and information can be displayed. Changes in the actual shopping facility, such as a change in a display of goods, can be

Art Unit: 3625

accommodated by replacing prior data with new data so that the virtual shopping environment is kept current with the actual shopping facility.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenny as and further in view of Boesch et al (6,205,433).

In regards to claim 6 Kenny teaches selecting items and determining a total price, but does not specifically mention making the selections based on a predetermined criterion. Boesch teaches approval of a transaction based on predetermined criterion established by the individual performing the transaction (col 8, lines 66 and 67 and col. 9, lines 1-5). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include in Kenny approval of a transaction based on pre-determined criterion as taught by Boesch, because the customer may only want to by an item when it is on sale.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4252**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,542

Art Unit: 3625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wynn Coggins** can be reached on **(703) 308-1344**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

# Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7206 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

Mark Fadok 2147

Patent Examiner

LAPHAN W. COGGINS

Supervisory patent examiner Technology center 3600